#### Institute for Global Environmental Strategies

Climate Change Group



# Operationalizing the Cancun Agreements in Southeast Asia: NAMAs and Beyond

Kentaro Tamura, PhD

Senior Policy Researcher/Group Deputy Director Climate Change Group, IGES

Workshop on the Low Carbon Development and Resilient Society in Asia: Elements for Qatar and Future Regime 4 September 2012, Bangkok

### **Background and Objective**

- Background
  - No clear definition of Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMAs)
  - Flexibility to define NAMAs according to developing countries' national circumstances
  - Developing countries are encouraged to submit NAMAs.
- Challenge
  - Many of ASEAN countries have not submitted NAMAs yet (Only Cambodia, Indonesia and Singapore have submitted.)
  - Those which submitted NAMAs are in process of making implementation plans
- Research objective
  - Identify challenges and opportunities for developing countries face in designing and formulating NAMAs in Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Thailand and Viet Nam
  - Provide policy recommendations to move forward

## Essential Elements for NAMA Formulation: Three Dimensions

NAMAs should be formulated on national consensus, and linked to national development priorities.

- NAMAs need to be based a good understanding of the current and future emissions trends and cost implications.
  - → Technical dimension
- NAMAs need to be embedded in national priorities.
  - → Mainstreaming dimension
- NAMAs need to be formulated through a cross-ministerial decision-making process which can coordinate and reconcile diverse interests.
  - → Institutional dimension

2

#### **Essential Elements of Formulating a NAMA: Three Dimensions**

## Technical Dimension

- ✓ GHG inventory and measurement rules
- ✓ Future GHG emission trend
- ✓ Potential mitigation options and their cost estimation

Understanding of current/future emission status and cost implication

#### Mainstreaming Dimension

- ✓ Climate Change agenda mainstreamed into national development plans and priorities
- √ Priority sectors and measures /options
- ✓ Action plans/strategy with operational details

(actions, costs, actors, durations, expected impacts, etc)

Priority setting for mitigation options in the context of national development

## Institutional Dimension

- ✓ National decisionmaking process on CC established (i.e. interministerial council)
- ✓ Existing institutional arrangements for mitigation efforts
- ✓ Task allocations on NAMAs among stakeholders
   (i.e. ministries, sectors, international donors)

Foundation for national decision making for NAMAs

National consensus on NAMAs

#### **Technical Dimension**

	Cambodia	Lao PDR	Viet Nam	Indonesia	Thailand
GHG inventory, measure- ment rules	• First National Communication 2002 (INC)	•INC 2000	•INC 2003, Second National Commination (SNC) 2010	•INC 1999, SNC 2011/12 •Legal base for inventory	•FNC 2000, SNC 2011 •T-VER scheme (2013)
Future GHG emission projection	•2020 projection (INC)	•No reference in INC	•SNC	•Sector-based projection, 2020 BAU (SNC)	<ul><li>No projection (SNC)</li><li>Sector-based projections</li></ul>
Potential mitigation options and their cost estimation	<ul> <li>Mitigation potential estimate in key sectors</li> <li>No reference to cost</li> </ul>	•No reference in INC	• 28 mitigation options in three sectors and their cost estimates (SNC)	<ul> <li>Mitigation options in six sectors, some of which have cost estimation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Renewable Energy Development Plan (REDP) </li> <li>Energy</li> <li>Efficiency Plan</li> </ul>

- While there is difference in capacity level, room for improving technical capacity to develop inventories and capture emission trends
- Data collection and sharing among different ministries is a challenge. Preparation for institutional arrangements for inventories in Viet Nam, legalization in Indonesia, T-VER in Thailand
- Room for improving capacity to analyze mitigation potentials and mitigation costs

## **Mainstreaming Dimension**

	Cambodia	Lao PDR	Viet Nam	Indonesia	Thailand
Mainstream -ing mitigation	• National Develop- ment Strategies and Plans 2009-2013 (NDSP)	• 7 <sup>th</sup> National Socio- Economic Development Plan (NEDP7)	<ul> <li>Schedule and leading agency (National Target Program to Respond to Climate Change: NTPRCC 2008)</li> <li>National Climate Change Strategy (NCCS 2010)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mid-term National Development Plan (RPJM 2010-2014)</li> <li>Indonesia Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap (ICCSR)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>National Master Plan for Climate Change (draft)</li> <li>National Economic and Social Development Plan (NESDP)</li> </ul>
Identifica- tion of priority sectors and policies	<ul><li>Priority actions (NDSP)</li></ul>	• Five sectors (National Strategy on Climate Change 2010)	• Six sectors (NCCS)	<ul> <li>National Action Plan for GHG Emissions Reduction (RAN- GRK)</li> <li>ICCSR</li> </ul>	• 6 Strategies (National Climate Change Strategic Plan NCCSP)
Action plan /strategy	• Cambodian Climate Change Strategic Plan (draft)	<ul> <li>National Action Plan for Climate Change (draft)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Action Plans by line ministries, and local governments</li> </ul>	•RAN-GRK	• REDP, Energy Conservation Plan

- Mainstreaming at the national level is on progress in all five countries.
  - → But, further analysis is necessary to assess actual implementation.
- By using existing sectoral policies and programmes (energy efficiency, renewable energy, forestry, agriculture) as a starting point for considering NAMAs, most of the countries try to ensure NAMAs' contribution to SD.

#### **Institutional Dimension**

	Cambodia	Lao PDR	Viet Nam	Indonesia	Thailand		
National decision- making process	<ul><li>National Climate Change Committee</li></ul>	<ul> <li>National Steering Committee on Climate Change</li> </ul>	• National Climate Change Committee		National Climate Change Committee		
Existing institutional arrangements for mitigation efforts	<ul><li>REDD+</li><li>Green growth strategy</li></ul>	_	<ul><li>Various sectoral initiatives REDD+</li><li>Green growth strategy</li></ul>	•Various sectoral and local initiatives	<ul> <li>NCCSP</li> <li>REDP</li> <li>Energy Efficiency Plan</li> <li>BKK's low carbon action plan</li> </ul>		
Task allocations on NAMAs formulation	<ul> <li>MOE seeks playing a coordination role</li> </ul>	_	<ul> <li>MONRE taking a coordination role</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>BAPENAS taking a coordination role</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Thai Greenhouse gas Organization playing a coordination role</li> </ul>		

- Establishment of cross-ministerial decision-making process in all five countries
   →But, further analysis is necessary to examine how it actually works
- Institutional congestion
  - Among NAMA-related initiatives
  - With similar but different initiatives (REDD+, green growth strategies)
- Limited capacity of coordinating bodies (esp. MOE/MONR); various sectoral support-led initiatives

## **Conclusions and Recommendations (1)**

Challenges in the three dimensions remain.

#### However,

- NAMAs can be a tipping point toward low carbon development
  - LDCs: Opportunity to take the late comer's advantage, thereby avoiding the carbon lock-in associated with conventional modernization and urbanization
  - Middle-income countries: Opportunity to escape from the "middle income trap" by transforming resource-intensive growth to more efficient and competitive one
- Developing countries governments need to regard NAMAs as an opportunity, rather than burdensome outcome of international negotiations.

7

## **Conclusions and Recommendations (2)**

## For policymakers and stakeholders in developing countries

- Resource allocation for improving in-house human resources:
   For the sense of ownership to grow, engagement of in-house capable staff is critical. Further resource allocations should be given to capacity building of human resources.
- Incentives and awareness: Key domestic actors should be given incentives (e.g. budget allocation) and their awareness on how NAMAs could benefit national development should be improved.
- National institutional arrangements for NAMAs: Coordinating capacity of a leading agency should be improved, especially in case of MOE.

9

### **Conclusions and Recommendations (3)**

#### For international donors

- More attention to human resources development: More consideration should be given to how best domestic knowhow can be accumulated in recipient countries
- Facilitating mutual learning within the region: Each country's effort to formulate NAMAs can provide good lessons from which neighboring countries could learn.
- Ensuring coordination and complementary relationship among various NAMA-related support: More effort to support coordination should be made. It is also important to consider how each NAMA-related support can fit into national grand design toward low carbon development