Science and Policy of Climate Change

## The policy context of **REDD+**

IGES, Hayama, Japan







## Outline

- Background of REDD+
  - Definition
  - Evolution of the concept
- Relevance of REDD+ in S.E Asia
- Enabling conditions for REDD+
- Policy implementation challenges (Indonesia)
  - Land use planning
  - Consultation process
  - Timber market
- Opportunities and risks of REDD+
- Final remarks

### Background (1) What is REDD+?

- REDD+: "Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation, conservation, sustainable forest management and enhancing carbon stocks"
- Foresees a financial mechanism: Developed countries remunerate developing countries for maintaining and/or increasing carbon stocks in forests

### Background (2): The evolution of REDD+

- UNFCCC/COP3 (1997), Kyoto protocol
  - Afforestation/Reforestation. Deforestation not included because of methodological concerns: baselines, leakage, permanence, additionality
- COP11 (2005) RED proposed by the Coalition of Rainforest Nations
  - Proposal submitted to the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA)
- COP13 (2007) Bali Action Plan called for
  - "Policy approaches and positive incentives on issues relating to REDD in developing countries..."
  - "encourages parties to explore a range of actions, ..., including demonstration activities, to address the drivers of deforestation relevant to their national circumstances, with a view to reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation and thus enhancing carbon stocks due to sustainable management of forests" (UNFCCC 1/CP13; 2/CP13)
- COP14 (2008): REDD+ officially adopted
  - Developing nations pushed for conservation, SFM and the enhancement of carbon stocks to be given the same importance in the negotiations as reducing emissions from deforestation and degradation
- COP16 (2010): Cancun accord
  - Emphasizes: REDD+ is voluntary and country-driven (according to national development priorities)
  - Support the sustainable management of forests

#### Released a number of safeguards:

- Support a transparent and effective national forest governance structure, taking into account national legislation and sovereignty
- Consistent with the protection and conservation of natural forests, enhance their social and environmental benefits and will not be used for the conversion of natural forests
- Full and effective participation of all relevant stakeholders, including indigenous peoples and local communities and respecting their knowledge and rights (UNDRIP).
- Actions to address risks of reversals and reduce the displacement of emissions

# Why is REDD+ appealing for Southeast Asian countries?

- 75% of all CO2 emissions originate from deforestation and forest degradation (ADB 2009)
- Potential to raise unprecedented financial flows
  - Indonesia
    - Potential annual revenues from reducing deforestation (1.87m ha/yr) by 50%: 2.5-4.5 billion USD
    - ODA in the last two decades: 1 billion USD
  - Financial flows are conditional
    - Permanent emission reductions must de demonstrated
  - Enabling environment must be achieved

# The enabling conditions for REDD+ (readiness)

#### Institutional conditions:

- Approve and enforce laws;
- Establish clear property rights of forest lands;
- Design and establish a transparent tracking and accounting system of national-level emissions (determine carbon stock **baselines**)

#### REDD+ baseline



Yr<sub>n</sub> Yr

# The enabling conditions for REDD+ (readiness)

#### Institutional conditions

- Approve and enforce laws
- Establish clear property rights of forest lands
- Design and establish a transparent tracking and accounting system of national-level emissions (determine carbon stock **baselines**)

#### Social conditions

- Consultation and participation of relevant stakeholders in REDD+ activities including
  - local governments
  - relevant NGOs and civil society organizations, and
  - indigenous and local communities (free prior informed consent)

### Free prior informed consent

- **Free**: there should be no coercion, manipulation or intimidation of local communities.
- **Prior**: local communities have been sought out well in advance of the authorization and/or the beginning of any activities, and that the processes provide enough time for consultations with them
- Informed:
  - knowledge of (at least) the nature, size, duration, reversibility, scope and areas involving the proposed activities,
  - reasons why the project/activity is being proposed; that they have access to a preliminary assessment of the possible economic, social and environmental impacts (including potential risks as well as fair and equitable benefit sharing).
  - knowledge of who is likely to be involved in the execution of the proposed project (including community members, private sector staff, research institutions, government employees, etc.), and that they
  - understand the procedures that the project may involve.

# The enabling conditions for REDD+ (readiness)

#### Institutional conditions

- Approve and enforce laws
- Establish clear property rights of forest lands
- Design and establish a transparent tracking and accounting system of national-level emissions (determine carbon stock **baselines**)

#### Social conditions

- Consultation and participation of relevant stakeholders in REDD+ activities including
  - local governments,
  - relevant NGOs and civil society organizations, and
  - indigenous and local communities (free prior informed consent)

#### Benefit sharing

 Design and implement mechanism for equitable sharing of financial benefits of REDD+

#### Phased implementation of REDD+

	Measures	Funding	Crediting scale	MRV
Phase 1	<ul> <li>National strategy adopted;</li> <li>Legislative and policy assessments completed;</li> <li>Consultations conducted;</li> </ul>	Multilateral (e.g. FCPF, UN- REDD+)and bilateral initiatives (e.g. Norway, AusAid) to support national strategies and readiness activities	Sub- national	Capacity development
Phase 2	<ul> <li>Policies enacted;</li> <li>Measures enforced;</li> <li>Indicators for carbon changes</li> </ul>	Multilateral and bilateral sources	Sub- national and national (nested)	Capacity development and basic monitoring capacity
Phase 3	Forest carbon stock changes quantified compared to a reference level	Carbon markets (does not necessarily exclude the use of funds)	National or nested approach	Advanced monitoring capacity and establishment of reference levels

## Policy challenges: Land use planning

- Development of agricultural activities traditionally expanding in forest lands vs. REDD+
- Economic value of agricultural products tied to development objectives
- Example: Oil palm in Indonesia
  - Export value of oil palm
    - 2009: \$11 billion
    - 2010: \$14-15 billion
  - Expected social benefits from oil palm
    - Employment
    - Infrastructure
  - Expected revenues from REDD+
    - \$2.5-4.5 billion (contingent on reducing deforestation by 50%)

#### Oil palm development in Indonesia

	Area (ha)							
Year	Smallholders	State	Private	Total	% increase			
1980	6,000	200,000	84,000	290,000				
1990	292,000	372,000	463,000	1,127,000	289%			
2000	1,167,000	588,000	2,403,000	4,158,000	269%			
2008	2,903,000	697,000	3,497,000	7,097,000	71%			

Source: Indonesia's Directorate General of Estate, Department of Agriculture 2008

- Indonesia plans to double its current production (to 40 million metric tons by 2020)
- Much of the expansion until now has occurred at the expense of forest and peatland forests
- To avoid deforestation, future expansion planned in degraded lands
- Requirement: Strong process of land use planning is undertaken (inter-sectoral policy coordination)

## Policy challenges Consultations in Indonesia

- Consultation of local communities and indigenous peoples needs to improve
  - Information not disclosed fully and well in advance
  - Information not available in the local language
  - Communities and indigenous peoples need to build their capacity and knowledge on REDD+
    - Understand technical issues
    - Gain a comprehensive understanding of the socioeconomic consequences of engaging in REDD+
- Consultation needs to be envisaged in the long term
  - Risk of underestimating the effort needed for consulting communities

# Policy Challenges at the market level in Indonesia

- Excess demand for timber consistently drives deforestation and forest degradation
- Annual industrial demand for round wood: 60 million m<sup>3</sup> (WB 2006)
  - Sustainable annual yield from natural forests: 8-9 million m<sup>3</sup>
  - Sustainable annual yield from forest plantations: 3-4 million m<sup>3</sup>
- Risk of increasing market imbalances if...

## Challenges at the market level (2)

- ...if REDD+ can lead to supply shortage, and
- if timber demand remains constant (or even increases) leading to price increases
  - Timber prices tend to increase when:
    - Alternative sources cannot supply the demand
    - There are no good substitutes available
  - Price increase of timber is an incentive for stakeholders to engage in logging
    - Increased risk of leakage

### Potential opportunities through for REDD+

... if successfully implemented, REDD+ can contribute to reduce emissions reduction and:

- Improve livelihoods
- Conserve biological diversity and watershed functions
- Improve forest governance

### Potential risks for REDD+

- Opportunity costs approach underestimates the real costs of REDD+
  - Implementation costs: actions to reduce deforestation and degradation, administration costs
  - Transaction costs: acquiring information, designing contracts, MRV
  - Institutional costs: institutional reforms, capacity building needs
- Opportunity cost approach
  - Poor indicator to alleviate poverty as it does not account for
    - The value of subsistence activities
    - The cost of building human capital for farmers to access higher earning activities
    - The cost of assessing the feasibility of alternative activities (livelihoods) for farmers to engage in
- Moral hazard
  - Inflated baselines presented to the FCPF: Examples of Guyana and DRC (Gregersen et al 2010)
    - Historical annual deforestation much lower than deforestation scenarios developed as baselines
    - Strategic behaviour of government and private stakeholders
  - Local communities and indigenous peoples may be at risk for lack of property rights

## Final remarks

- Countries engaging in REDD+ need to credibly improve their institutional capacity
  - Law enforcement
  - Involvement of local stakeholders (communities and indigenous peoples)
  - Improve forest governance
- Other sectors (beyond the forest sector) need to be involved
- Strategies to address the demand for timber need to be devised
- More differentiated approach to the costs of implementing REDD+ is needed

## Thank you