# ORIGINAL PAPER

# Tracing the factors responsible for arsenic enrichment in groundwater of the middle Gangetic Plain, India: a source identification perspective

Pankaj Kumar · Manish Kumar · A. L. Ramanathan · Maki Tsujimura

Received: 14 December 2008/Accepted: 2 June 2009/Published online: 24 June 2009 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

Abstract Arsenic contamination in groundwater is of increasing concern because of its high toxicity and widespread occurrence. This study is an effort to trace the factors responsible for arsenic enrichment in groundwater of the middle Gangetic Plain of India through major ion chemistry, arsenic speciation, sediment grain-size analyses, and multivariate statistical techniques. The study focuses on the distinction between the contributions of natural weathering and anthropogenic inputs of arsenic with its spatial distribution and seasonal variations in the plain of the state Bihar of India. Thirty-six groundwater and one sediment core samples were collected in the premonsoon and post-monsoon seasons. Various graphical plots and statistical analysis were carried out using chemical data to enable hydrochemical evaluation of the aquifer system based on the ionic constituents, water types, hydrochemical facies, and factors controlling groundwater quality. Results suggest that the

P. Kumar  $\cdot$  A. L. Ramanathan School of Environmental Sciences, JNU, New Delhi 67, India

P. Kumar · M. Tsujimura Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8572, Japan

M. Kumar (🖂)

Department of Urban Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan e-mail: kumar@env.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp; manish.env@gmail.com groundwater is characterized by slightly alkaline pH with moderate to strong reducing nature. The general trend of various ions was found to be  $Ca^{2+} > Na^+ > Mg^{2+} > K^+ > NH_4^+$ ; and  $HCO_3^- >$  $Cl^{-} > SO_4^{2-} > NO_3^{-} > PO_4^{3-} > F^{-}$  in both seasons. Spatial and temporal variations showed a slightly higher arsenic concentration in the pre-monsoon period (118  $\mu$ g/L) than in the post-monsoon period (114 µg/L). Results of correlation analyses indicate that arsenic contamination is strongly associated with high concentrations of Fe, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> but relatively low Mn concentrations. Further, the enrichment of arsenic is more prevalent in the proximity of the Ganges River, indicating that fluvial input is the main source of arsenic. Grain size analyses of sediment core samples revealed clay (fine-grained) strata between 4.5 and 7.5 m deep that govern the vertical distribution of arsenic. The weathering of carbonate and silicate minerals along with surfacegroundwater interactions, ion exchange, and anthropogenic activities seem to be the processes governing groundwater contamination, including with arsenic. Although the percentage of wells exceeding the permissible limit (50  $\mu$ g/L) was less (47%) than that reported in Bangladesh and West Bengal, the percentage contribution of toxic As(III) to total arsenic concentration is quite high (66%). This study is vital considering that groundwater is the exclusive source of drinking water in the region and not only makes situation alarming but also calls for immediate attention.

**Keywords** Arsenic · Gangetic Plain · Groundwater · Health · Hydrogeochemical processes · India · Mobilization and transport · Nitrate contamination · Grain-size analysis

# Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) has repeatedly insisted that the single major factor adversely influencing the general health and life expectancy of a population is the lack of ready access to clean drinking water (Kumar et al. 2007). Because of severe problems of water stress and deterioration of water quality, there is high concern about groundwater quality all over the world. The quality of groundwater depends on the composition of recharging water, the mineralogy and reactivity of the geological formations in aquifers, the impact of human activities, and environmental conditions that may affect the geochemical mobility of certain constituents (Kumar et al. 2006). These geochemical processes are responsible for seasonal and spatial variations in groundwater chemistry (Matthess 1982; Kumar et al. 2009a). Groundwater evolves chemically by interacting with aquifer minerals or by internal mixing among different groundwater along flow paths in the subsurface (Domenico 1972). The presence of trace elements in groundwater is an important issue because it affects possible uses of water. Arsenic contamination of groundwater, in particular, is of increasing concern because of the high toxicity and widespread occurrence of this element (Jain and Ali 2000; Smedley and Kinniburgh 2002).

Further, exposure to elevated levels of arsenic (As) in groundwater has become a global concern in recent years (Meliker et al. 2008). Most estimates of arsenic pollution have focussed on the predominance of arsenic poisoning in the groundwater of West Bengal (India) and Bangladesh (Bhattacharya et al. 1997; Ben et al. 2003; Ahmed et al. 2004), which has been thought to be limited to the Ganges Delta (the lower Gangetic Plain). Early surveys have been conducted on arsenic contamination in groundwaters of West Bengal (Saha 1984; Mazumder et al. 1998) and groundwater in the Union Territory of Chandigarh and its surroundings in the northwestern upper Ganga

Plain and recent findings in the Tarai areas of Nepal (Chakraborti et al. 2003). Several authors have suggested that the reductive dissolution of Fe(III) oxyhydroxides under strongly reducing conditions of the young alluvial sediments is the cause for As mobilization (Ahmed et al. 2004; Bhattacharya et al. 1997; Harvey et al. 2002; McArthur et al. 2004; Nickson et al. 1998; Nickson et al. 2000). The reduction is driven by microbial degradation of sedimentary organic matter, which is a redox-dependent process consuming dissolved  $O_2$  and  $NO_3$  (Nickson et al. 2000).

Gradually, researchers have shifted their focus to vertical profiling of arsenic contamination (Datta and Subramanian 1994; Acharyya and Shah 2004; Acharyya 2004, Nickson et al. 2007; Shah 2008). It was an important step towards precise understanding of vertical mobilization of As and role of geology of the area. However, most of the studies have correlated sediment colour with As concentrations (Brömssen et al. 2007, 2008). Very few attempts have been made to correlate grain-size distribution with As contamination. Further, it is recognized that the environmental impact and mobility of an element is not purely controlled by total concentration but the speciation of the element. For example in the case of arsenic, As(III) is more toxic than As(V). Therefore, inclusion of spatial distribution of different species becomes imperative for complete discussion of arsenic contamination.

Furthermore, the arsenic contamination in the alluvium plain of the Gangetic Plain may cause secondary effects when it enters the food chain. The arsenic contamination may result from a combination of natural and anthropogenic processes, for example weathering reactions, biological activity, mining activity, combustion of fossil fuels, use of arsenical pesticides, herbicides, and crop desiccants, and use of arsenic as an additive to livestock, particularly for poultry feed (Huq et al. 2001). Therefore, it is essential to distinguish between the contributions by natural weathering and anthropogenic inputs. Globally, contaminated drinking water is the chief source of chronic human intoxication (Gebel 2000; Smith et al. 2000) and may result in skin ailments, such as hyper-pigmentation and kurtosis, and progress to cancer and, ultimately, death. The current drinking water quality guideline for arsenic is 10 µg/L (WHO 1993). The current standard for arsenic in drinking water in both Bangladesh and India is  $50 \mu g/L$ . Moreover, the upper, middle, and lower Gangetic Plains are the most densely populated areas of India. Moreover, in these parts of India dependence on groundwater has increased tremendously, which intensifies the likely health problem from groundwater contamination. Thus, there is an immediate need for an inventory which can take account of the amount of arsenic actually present in the area and number of people actually exposed to it.

In the work discussed in this paper an effort was therefore made to reveal the spatial distribution and predict the seasonal changes in the levels of various contaminants, to quantify the occurrence of different arsenic species, and to understand the mechanism controlling the occurrence of arsenic and to distinguish between the contributions made by natural weathering and anthropogenic inputs. An effort has been also made to correlate grain-size distribution of sediment with depth-wise variation of As concentration.

# Study area

Bhagalpur district is located between  $25^{\circ}13.29'$  and  $25^{\circ}608'$  N and from  $86^{\circ}64.08'$  to  $87^{\circ}542'$  E (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1 General geomorphology of the Bhagalpur district, including sampling locations The district is a peneplain, intersected by numerous streams. Surface levels varied because of high banks of the Ganga, Koshi (Ghugri), Chanari, and Chandan. The geomorphology of the area is a monotonously flat and featureless plain with gradient towards the river Ganges. The southwestern monsoon brings much-needed rainfall and nearly 70% of the rainfall occurs during the months of July, August, and September. There is significant seasonal variation in temperature with mean annual temperature of 26°C. The major part of the district is characterised by an arid soil moisture regime according to the criteria laid in Soil Taxonomy (Brady and Weil 2002).

#### Hydrogeology

Geomorphologically the area is represented by alluvial deposits of Quaternary age. The area has been divided into four different zones: recent alluvium (non-calcareous), recent alluvium (calcareous), Tal land soils, and old alluvium (Fig. 1). The Gangetic Plain has huge amounts of river-deposited sediments which accumulate on the flood and deltaic plain of Bengal. They generally consist of Pleistocene and Holocene formations. However, the central Gangetic Plain has a unique geological geo-morphological



setting with aquifers ranging from Quaternary Himalayan alluvial fans and plains to the pre-Cenozoic (Precambrian to Cretaceous) Indian cratonic, igneous, and meta-sediment shield provinces. XRD studies on soil samples of As-safe older alluvial and Ascontaminated newer alluvium from the Middle Gangetic Plain reveals an mineralogical assemblage of quartz, muscovite, chlorite, kaolinite feldspar, amphibole, and goethite (Shah 2008). The groundwater occurs in Holocene sandy sediments and forms extensive unconfined to leaky confined aquifers, i.e., the area has both confined and unconfined aquifers. Water level fluctuates with seasonal recharge and discharge. The depth of wells varies from 6 to 13 m bgl. The depth to water level has been observed to vary from 3 to 8 m bgl during the premonsoon season and from 2 to 4 m bgl during the post-monsoon season. The multiple aquifer system of this region has variable hydraulic conductivity. The deeper aquifers i.e., semi-confined aquifers are prolific aquifers and may be a future water resource supplies for the region with good hydraulic characteristics. The district has two means of irrigationcanals and tube wells (groundwater).

#### Materials and methods

Sampling wells were selected in such a way that they represent different geological formations and landuse patterns at varying topography of the area. Thirtysix groundwater samples were collected during May and November 2007 (Fig. 1) in order to observe the impact of the monsoon season on arsenic and other contaminant status of the area. Groundwater samples were collected in clean polyethylene bottles. For bore well and hand pumps, the water samples were collected after pumping the water for 5–10 min. For open wells, water samples were collected 30 cm below the water level using a depth sampler.

In-situ measurements mainly EC, PH, and ORP were measured by use of a portable Orion Thermo water analysing kit (Model Beverly, MA, USA; 01915). Total arsenic was determined with the help of a Digital Arsenator (Wagtech, UK) and arsenic speciation was performed in the field with disposable cartridges (Metal Soft Center, PA, USA) which absorb As(V), but allow As(III) to pass through. Further, these samples were stored below 4°C in a

portable ice-box to minimize chemical alteration. The collected groundwater samples were classified for anion and cation analysis. Further, groundwater samples were filtered through 0.45-µm Millipore filter paper and acidified with 2 M HNO<sub>3</sub> (Ultra pure; Merck) for cation analysis. HBO<sub>3</sub> acid was used as preservative for nitrate analysis (Kumar et al. 2009b).

Fe and Mn were analysed in the laboratory by use of an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Shimadzu AA-6800). Concentrations of total arsenic were cross-checked on acidified samples using graphitefurnace (GF) AAS (Shimadzu AA-6800) in absorption mode using chemical standards; the detection limit was 2  $\mu$ g/L. Major cation analysis (Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>,  $Ca^{2+}$ , and  $Mg^{2+}$ ) was carried out by use of an EEL flame photometer (APHA 1995). The concentration of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was measured by acid titration. Other anions  $F^-$ ,  $Cl^-$ ,  $NO_3^-$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$ , and  $PO_4^{3-}$  were analysed by use of a Dionex DX-120 ion chromatograph. Other characteristics, for example SiO<sub>2</sub> and  $NH_4^+$  were analysed with a Jenway model 6505 spectrophotometer. High-purity reagents (Merck) and Milli-Q water (Model Milli-Q, Biocel) were used for all the analysis.

Analytical precision was checked by normalized inorganic charge balance (NICB) (Huh et al. 1998; Kumar et al. 2006). This is defined as  $[(Tz^+ - Tz^-)/(Tz^+ + Tz^-)]$  and represents the fractional difference between total cations and anions. In general, samples showed a charge imbalance mainly in favour of positive charge. The observed charge balance support the quality of the data points, which is better than  $\pm 5\%$  except for some samples.

#### Sediment grain-size analysis

With the help of local drillers one borehole was drilled to confirm different lithological units and its relationship with arsenic enrichment. During drilling, samples were collected on the basis of visible change in sediment colour and texture. The change was rapid initially and thus samples were collected every 1.5 m; later the depth interval between two samples collected was 3 m. Thus, thirteen (n = 13) samples were obtained from the single core sediment. Only washed and disturbed sediments could be sampled as a result of the hand percussion technique. Washed sediments were collected in a bucket and allowed to settle before being transferred on to a bamboo carpet. Later,

the sediment samples were allowed to drain (but not dry), before putting them into plastic bags (Brömssen et al. 2007). Samples were air-dried in the laboratory. Stones and plant fragments were removed by passing the dried samples through a 2-mm sieve. The sieved samples were powdered and finally passed through ASTM standard sieves. Size fractions of the bed sediments down to 37  $\mu$ m were separated and fractionated using the Astenburg cylinder method based on Stoke's law (Griffiths 1967).

#### Statistical analysis

The matrix of hydrogeochemical data obtained was subjected to multivariate analytical techniques. These techniques help to simplify and organize large data sets in order to make useful generalizations. At first data was subjected to correlation analysis using Spearman's rank coefficient which is based on the ranking of the data and not their absolute value. Thereafter, factor analysis was performed for effective display of complex relationships among many samples (Kumar et al. 2009c). These analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software package (Version 10.5).

# **Result and discussion**

#### General groundwater chemistry

A statistical summary of the analytical results (minimum, maximum, mean, and the standard deviation) for each water-quality characteristic analysed is given in Table 1.

Result for pH values at different lithology showed that the groundwater was alkaline in nature (Kumar et al. 2007). pH, EC, and  $Cl^-$  values were higher in

Table 1 Statistical summary of hydro-geochemical parameters of the groundwater

Characteristic	Unit	Minimum		Maximum		Average		SD	
		Pre- monsoon	Post- monsoon	Pre- monsoon	Post- monsoon	Pre- monsoon	Post- monsoon	Pre- monsoon	Post- monsoon
Ph		7.8	7.66	8.3	8.16	8.13	7.98	0.13	0.13
ORP	mV	-134	-125	169	135	8.97	7.91	95.19	86.68
EC	µs/cm	250	217	980	967	599	591	175	174
TDS	mg/L	191.25	185	710	685	455	441	133	128
Na <sup>+</sup>	mg/L	5.76	5.16	41.91	37.58	18.66	17	8.54	8.13
$K^+$	mg/L	0.44	0.43	3.22	3.16	1.45	1.39	0.70	0.66
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	mg/L	20.7	18.87	126	161	64.81	69.4	30.28	37.91
$Mg^{2+}$	mg/L	7.83	8.36	18.2	19.43	10.67	11.5	2.21	2.28
$HCO_3^-$	mg/L	15.2	23.74	214.5	266	105	129	42.19	57.68
$F^{-}$	mg/L	0.08	0.06	4.94	2.48	0.76	0.63	0.86	0.50
$Cl^{-}$	mg/L	6.56	6.08	219.93	154	69.67	55.52	50.78	35.89
$NO_3^-$	mg/L	1.01	1.12	39	46.7	23.71	22.66	11.59	13.33
$SO_4^{2-}$	mg/L	3.84	3.61	72.8	68.4	32.93	27.68	20.19	16.65
$PO_4^{3-}$	mg/L	2.66	2.36	6.37	5.65	4.05	3.59	0.95	0.84
H <sub>4</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	mg/L	14	17.27	49.33	55.55	30.01	35.1	8.15	9.24
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	mg/L	0.59	0.54	3.11	2.83	1.38	1.26	0.76	0.70
Fe	mg/L	0.66	0.43	7.62	6.84	3.19	2.82	2.23	1.98
Mn	mg/L	0.01	0.01	1.79	1.75	0.66	0.63	0.56	0.55
As (tot)	μg/L	19.1	18.46	118	113.5	51.23	48.97	27.64	25.76
As <sup>3+</sup>	μg/L	10.9	11.63	81.1	75.9	34.43	32.73	21.04	18.04
As <sup>5+</sup>	μg/L	7.1	5.32	55.2	47.89	16.81	16.24	10.28	11.49

the pre-monsoon season whereas  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  values were higher in the post-monsoon season. On the other hand, no significant seasonal variations were observed for  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  (Table 1). Higher values of pH and EC in the pre-monsoon season are the combined effect of the high concentration of dissolved solids and/or high ionic strength of the groundwater, local variation in soil type, multiple aquifer system, and agricultural activities in the area. Higher  $\text{HCO}_3^$ concentrations in the post-monsoon period are because of weathering of carbonaceous sandstones by rain water followed by subsequent precipitation of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  along with other cations.

The higher and lower concentrations of Cl<sup>-</sup> in the pre-monsoon and post monsoon seasons, respectively, may be because of the input from sewage effluents in the village areas and dilution by rain water in post monsoon season (Todd 1959). The groundwater seems to have secondary salinity, as indicated by high Cl<sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations. The presence of salts in the unsaturated top zone of the groundwater suggests that the flushing rate of the aquifers may be slow because of high Cl<sup>-</sup> concentrations trapped in clayey lenses, which may be gradually diffusing into the aquifer.

The average concentration of  $NO_3^-$  was found to be as high as 23 mg/L (Table 1), which indicates the influence of agricultural activities, fertilizer use, and microbial mineralization on the groundwater. Further, the higher concentration of nitrate may also be a result of the presence of E. coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Proteus vulgaris, Salmonella typhii, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the faecal matter, as reported by Saha and Kumar (2006). This seems reasonable as there is a severe lack of a proper sanitation system in the district. Further, animal waste sources are also a significant contributor of nitrate to groundwater, especially within mixed landuse. Some parts of the study area is occupied by industrial and urban land-use, therefore some nitrate leaching from landfill sites and industrial effluents cannot be neglected. The underlying cause of  $PO_4^{3-}$ in the groundwater of the Bhagalpur district indicates input of fertilizers in farmlands to enhance paddy and wheat productivity and the dilution effect in the rainy season. In Bhagalpur, F<sup>-</sup> varied significantly from 0.08 to 4.94 mg/L in the pre-monsoon season and 0.06 to 2.48 mg/L in the post-monsoon season (Table 1), which is an indicator of weathering of mica containing mineral like biotite. Pollution and health aspects of this have already been reported by Chaurasia et al. (2007). Finally, among anions the average value trend found was  $HCO_3^- > CI^- > -SO_4^{2-} > NO_3^- > PO_4^{3-} > F^-$  in both seasons.

The dominant cation was Ca<sup>2+</sup> followed by Na<sup>+</sup>,  $Mg^{2+}$  K<sup>+</sup>, and  $NH_4^+$ . There was little seasonal variation in most of the cations, except a few locations where seasonal variations were significant (Table 1). In the post-monsoon season, high concentrations of Ca<sup>2+</sup> may be because of weathering of carbonate mainly from gypsum, plagioclase and feldspar minerals, which are abundant in the floodplain regions (Bhattacharya et al. 1997). However, the results for Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> followed the opposite pattern with high concentrations in the pre-monsoon season (Table 1). This implies that the contribution of cations via alumino-silicate weathering is low in comparison with carbonate weathering. The average ratio trend of  $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}/Na^+ + K^+$  varied from 4.65 in the pre-monsoon season to 5.45 in the postmonsoon season, indicating the dominance of carbonate rock weathering in the groundwater of Bhagalpur. Further, the concentration of H<sub>4</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> varied from 14 to 49 mg/L in the pre-monsoon season and from 17 to 56 mg/L in the post-monsoon season (Table 1). The slight increment in concentration indicates the possibility of alumino-silicate weathering in the rainy season.

Total arsenic concentrations in the groundwater varied from 19.1 to 118  $\mu$ g/L in the pre-monsoon season and from 18.5 to 113.5  $\mu$ g/L in post-monsoon season (Table 1). The concentration of Fe varied from 0.66 to 7.62 mg/L in the pre-monsoon season and from 0.43 to 6.84 mg/L in the post-monsoon season whereas Mn values were very low in both seasons (Table 1). This high concentration of Fe and low concentration of Mn is indicative of a reducing environment in the groundwater environment of the area. The concentration of  $NH_4^+$  varied from 0.59 to 3.11 mg/L in the pre-monsoon season and from 0.54 to 2.83 mg/L in the post-monsoon season (Table 1).  $NH_4^+$  is a good indicator of contamination from inadequate sanitation facilities. The finding supports an earlier argument pertaining to higher NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and further shows that some dilution occurs in the postmonsoon season.

#### Graphical representation of hydrochemical data

In this study, water-quality data were analysed by use of Piper diagrams to gain better insight into the hydro-geochemical processes operating in the groundwater environment of the central Gangetic Plain that resulted in the spatial and temporal variation. The major cations and anions for the analysed water were plotted on a Piper diagram (Figs. 2, 3). It was found that not only were the water samples of Ca-Cl (about 62%) and Ca-HCO<sub>3</sub> (about 36%) type in the pre-monsoon period but also remained so in the post-monsoon period, with slight changes in their percentage share of each water type of Ca-HCO<sub>3</sub> ( $\sim 59\%$ ) and Ca-Cl  $(\sim 40\%)$ . This indicates the presence of carbonaceous sandstone in the aquifers and weathering of carbonate minerals in the post-monsoon period whereas in the pre-monsoon period the result favours salt precipitation. In most places hardness is of the CaCO<sub>3</sub> type with some locations saturated with calcite.

#### Statistical analysis

#### Correlation analysis

The correlation pattern for the pre-monsoon and postmonsoon periods are given in Tables 2 and 3, respectively; these give a clear picture of hydrochemical processes occurring in the study area. There was an inverse correlation between arsenic and Eh (redox potential), i.e., the value of Eh increases with decreasing arsenic concentration, which mean concentration of arsenic increases with increasing redox status of any environment. Further, significant positive correlation between As and Fe, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and  $PO_4^{3-}$  were also noticed along with negative correlation between As and Mn, which substantiate the strong reducing character of this environment. There is a good correlation between Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> which indicates that the source for both elements could be the same. There are good correlations between TDS and Ca<sup>2+</sup>, between TDS and Cl<sup>-</sup>, and between TDS and  $SO_4^{2-}$ , which indicate that carbonate weathering

**Fig. 2** Piper diagram of groundwater in the premonsoon season with legend of 26 sampling locations







with anthropogenic sources, mainly fertilizers, control the geochemistry of the groundwater in the premonsoon period. Further, good correlations between  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Cl^-$  and between  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  are readily apparent, which indicates that both gypsum (absorbed in clay) and limestone are acting as a source of calcium. During the post-monsoon season, an additional correlation arises between TDS and  $HCO_3^-$ , which further supports the existence of carbonate weathering in the area. Other correlations of different strength, for example the correlation between  $Cl^-$  and  $SO_4^-$  and between  $Cl^-$  and  $NO_3^-$ , may be attributed to secondary leaching.

#### Factor analysis

Factor analysis of hydrochemical properties of the groundwater samples identified five major components (factor) in each season (Tables 4 and 5). The number of significant factors within the dataset was determined by including only components with eigenvalues >1.0. The total variability explained by the identified five factors in the pre-monsoon and post-80.38 monsoon seasons were and 81.77%. respectively. The degree of association between each variable and factor is shown by their respective loading for each component. The pre-monsoon (Table 4) and post monsoon (Table 5) results substantiate the fact that there is an insignificant seasonal variation in the governing hydro-geochemical processes that control the groundwater quality in the area, as is evident from the loading of each variable on each factor and the communality of each variable. Although there is a slight difference in the loading of each variable in each factor in each season, the numbers of pairs of variables in each component remain same throughout the year with very few exceptions.

In general, factor 1 exhibits high loading, i.e., strong geochemical associations between  $PO_4^{3-}$ , Fe, As, and As(III) and inverse association with Depth, ORP and Mn in both seasons. Such loading for the most important factor i.e., component 1 is a strong indicator of a reducing environment which seems to be the main reason for arsenic enrichment in the groundwater. Factor 2 represents the association of EC and TDS with some major ions which indicates percolation of salts and halite deposits. Factor 3 is accounted for Na and K that indicate feldspar weathering. Thus, factors 2 and 3 account for geochemical processes which are less important than the existence of the reducing environment expressed by factor 1. Factor 4 is attributed to anthropogenic activities, as is evident from the high loading for Ph with  $NO_3^{-}$ , which arise from biological mineralization and agricultural activities. Further, component 4

Table 2	Correlat	ion mat	rix of c	themical	l constitu	uents of §	groundw:	ater of B	shagalpur	for the	pre-mon	isoon sea	ason							
	Ph	ORP	EC	TDS	$\mathrm{Na}^+$	$\mathbf{K}^+$	$Ca^{2+}$	$Mg^{2+}$	$HCO_3^-$	F <sup>-</sup>	CI_ ]	$NO_3^-$	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	$\mathrm{PO}_4^{3-}$	$\rm H_4SiO_4$	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$	Fe	Mn	As (tot) As (3+	
ORP	-0.18																			
EC	-0.26	0.27																		
TDS	-0.23	0.28	0.76																	
$Na^+$	-0.30	0.28	0.56	0.56																
$\mathbf{K}^+$	-0.26	0.30	0.55	0.55	0.98															
$Ca^{2+}$	-0.19	0.06	0.78	0.78	0.36	0.31														
$Mg^{2+}$	0.08	-0.48	-0.13	-0.11	-0.11	-0.13	0.15													
$HCO_{3}^{-}$	-0.05	0.30	0.48	0.48	0.42	0.45	0.10	-0.10												
Ļ	0.09	0.34	0.16	0.16	0.46	0.59	-0.22	-0.11	0.40											
$\mathrm{Cl}^-$	-0.33	0.16	0.70	0.70	0.50	0.48	0.78	0.04	-0.07	0.04										
$NO_3^-$	-0.26	-0.04	0.13	0.12	0.07	0.01	0.42	0.17	-0.34	-0.28	0.37									
$\mathrm{SO}_4^{2-}$	-0.14	0.22	0.73	0.73	0.49	0.49	0.85	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.81	0.27								
$\mathrm{PO}_4^{3-}$	0.28	-0.80	-0.12	-0.12	-0.11	-0.10	-0.04	0.43	-0.23	-0.08	0.02	-0.07	-0.04							
$H_4SiO_4$	-0.18	0.34	0.42	0.42	0.33	0.31	0.39	0.16	0.24	0.08	0.26	0.38	0.42	-0.28						
$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$	0.33	-0.78	-0.13	-0.12	-0.29	-0.31	0.02	0.26	-0.22	-0.31	-0.10	-0.12	-0.11	0.70	-0.29					
Fe	0.21	-0.90	-0.27	-0.28	-0.30	-0.32	-0.09	0.30	-0.32	-0.26	-0.11	0.11	-0.21	0.81	-0.39	0.79				
Mn	-0.20	0.91	0.25	0.26	0.28	0.30	0.07	-0.33	0.35	0.34	0.17	-0.09	0.22	-0.74	0.31	-0.72	-0.89			
As (tot)	0.28	-0.86	-0.22	-0.22	-0.22	-0.25	-0.03	0.37	-0.28	-0.24	-0.05	0.02	-0.16	0.83	-0.41	0.82	0.93	-0.83		
As (3+)	0.20	-0.86	-0.17	-0.18	-0.16	-0.19	-0.03	0.37	-0.28	-0.20	-0.04	0.01	-0.13	0.85	-0.34	0.80	0.93	-0.81	0.95	
As (5+)	0.34	-0.55	-0.23	-0.22	-0.27	-0.28	-0.02	0.24	-0.19	-0.22	-0.06	0.03	-0.15	0.50	-0.41	0.57	0.59	-0.57	0.75 0.49	
																				I

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$  Springer

Table 3	Correlat	tion mat	rix of cl	hemical	constitu	ients of §	groundw	ater of I	3hagalpui	r for the j	post-moi	nsoon se	cason							
	Ph	ORP	EC	TDS	$Na^+$	$\mathbf{K}^+$	$Ca^{2+}$	${\rm Mg}^{2+}$	$HCO_3^-$	F <sup></sup>	Cl- 1	VO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> 5	${\rm SO_4}^{2-}$	$\mathrm{PO_4}^{3-}$	$\rm H_4SiO_4$	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	Fe M	In As	(tot) As	(3+)
ORP	-0.24																			
EC	-0.35	0.27																		
TDS	-0.33	0.28	0.87																	
$Na^+$	-0.29	0.32	0.54	0.54																
$\mathbf{K}^+$	-0.28	0.29	0.52	0.52	0.92															
$Ca^{2+}$	-0.23	0.03	0.70	0.71	0.27	0.30														
$Mg^{2+}$	0.23	-0.55	-0.21	-0.20	-0.18	-0.16	-0.06													
$HCO_{3}^{-}$	-0.01	0.29	0.51	0.51	0.45	0.42	0.13	-0.13												
- L	0.02	0.38	0.15	0.16	0.60	0.60	-0.08	-0.14	0.34											
Cl <sup>-</sup>	-0.31	0.05	0.66	0.67	0.37	0.39	0.85	-0.06	-0.08	-0.02										
$NO_3^{-}$	-0.32	-0.11	0.49	0.50	0.12	0.13	0.65	0.13	-0.14	-0.12	0.61									
$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	-0.26	0.14	0.62	0.62	0.41	0.42	0.77	-0.02	-0.05	0.04	0.78	0.54								
$PO_4^{3-}$	0.39	-0.80	-0.13	-0.12	-0.10	-0.07	0.07	0.52	-0.17	-0.14	0.10	0.06	-0.03							
$\rm H_4SiO_4$	-0.17	0.64	0.41	0.41	0.37	0.36	0.41	-0.27	0.19	0.25	0.41	0.25	0.46	-0.39						
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	0.37	-0.78	-0.12	-0.11	-0.31	-0.28	0.10	0.36	-0.16	-0.33	0.05 -	-0.02 -	-0.05	0.70	-0.55					
Fe	0.26	-0.92	-0.25	-0.25	-0.34	-0.31	0.02	0.44	-0.33	-0.30	0.06	0.14 -	-0.10	0.82	-0.63	0.79				
Mn	-0.25	0.91	0.21	0.22	0.30	0.27	-0.09	-0.42	0.34	0.38	- 60.0-	-0.18	0.06	-0.74	0.56	-0.72	-0.89			
As (tot)	0.32	-0.87	-0.22	-0.21	-0.26	-0.23	0.03	0.46	-0.29	-0.23	0.08	0.10	-0.11	0.82	-0.56	0.83	0.91 -	-0.83		
As (3+)	0.27	-0.84	-0.18	-0.18	-0.28	-0.25	0.06	0.48	-0.24	-0.26	0.07	0.15 -	-0.15	0.84	-0.55	0.75	0.92 -	0.83 0.9	2	
As (5+)	0.30	-0.62	-0.20	-0.20	-0.14	-0.12	-0.02	0.28	-0.27	-0.11	0.07	0.00	-0.02	0.53	-0.40	0.68	0.64 -	0.57 0.7	9 0.4	5

D Springer

Table 4 Multivariate
factor analysis score for the
pre-monsoon period

Variables	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5
Depth	-0.74	0.13	0.23	0.01	-0.07
Ph	0.24	-0.16	-0.21	0.65	0.08
ORP	-0.92	0.14	0.12	0.05	-0.13
EC	-0.15	0.91	0.24	0.11	0.00
TDS	-0.15	0.91	0.24	0.13	0.00
Na <sup>+</sup>	-0.15	0.43	0.81	-0.16	0.01
$K^+$	-0.16	0.40	0.87	-0.10	-0.01
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.01	0.94	-0.11	-0.14	0.16
$Mg^{2+}$	0.39	-0.03	-0.03	-0.01	0.76
$HCO_3^-$	-0.27	0.23	0.46	0.59	0.07
$F^-$	-0.20	-0.09	0.77	0.26	0.00
Cl <sup>-</sup>	0.00	0.82	0.16	-0.37	-0.04
$NO_3^-$	0.01	0.26	-0.21	-0.68	0.37
$SO_4^{2-}$	-0.09	0.86	0.13	-0.12	0.13
$PO_4^{3-}$	0.89	-0.02	0.10	0.06	0.10
H <sub>4</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	-0.38	0.36	0.10	-0.07	0.69
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	0.84	0.04	-0.22	0.21	-0.04
Fe	0.95	-0.12	-0.12	-0.08	-0.01
Mn	-0.89	0.13	0.15	0.09	-0.06
As (tot)	0.97	-0.05	-0.08	0.02	-0.03
As (3+)	0.95	-0.05	0.01	-0.04	0.02
As (5+)	0.66	-0.03	-0.23	0.14	-0.13
Eigen value	7.41	4.66	2.70	1.62	1.30
Percentage of variance	33.67	21.18	12.26	7.37	5.92
Cumulative percentage	33.67	54.84	67.10	74.47	80.38

shows a relationship of EC and TDS with HCO<sub>3</sub>, which indicates weathering of carbonaceous material. The only property that shows significant seasonal variation is  $H_4SiO_4$ , which accounts for significant loading in factor 5 in the pre-monsoon period but switched to factor 2 in the post-monsoon period with similar loading. This indicates that with rainfall and elevated groundwater levels silicate weathering becomes dominant in the area (Kumar et al. 2009a). Thus, factor analysis indicates the multiple sources and processes controlling the overall groundwater quality in the middle Gangetic floodplain.

#### Arsenic and its speciation

The distribution pattern of arsenic in the premonsoon and post-monsoon seasons is shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. It was found that arsenic contamination is more prevalent in the vicinity of the floodplain of the river Ganges and its tributary the Koshi (Ghugri) river. Most of these regions are located in the northern and northwestern part of Bhagalpur district, viz. Sabour (94 µg/L), Sultanganj (87  $\mu$ g/L), and Ranuchak (118  $\mu$ g/L) (Figs. 4, 5). In general, 47% of sampling location exceed the 50  $\mu$ g/ L permissible limit which shows the magnitude of arsenic contamination in the area. However, in many areas of the Lower Meghna Estuary, Bangladesh, more than 80% of wells (Ravenscroft et al. 2005) and 93% of wells in Hajiganj Upazila of southeast Bangladesh exceed the permissible limit (Jakariya et al. 1998). The arsenic concentration is, therefore, still not too high in comparison with reported values for West Bengal and Bangladesh, which indicates nascent stage of arsenic enrichment. These observations suggest that the high concentrations of arsenic in the lower catchment of the Ganges River can be attributed to the existence of multiple sources and the likelihood of related mechanisms of mobilization/enrichment across the entire Central Gangetic 140

Variables	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5
Depth	-0.74	-0.01	0.32	0.01	-0.07
Ph	0.30	-0.27	-0.08	0.03	0.85
ORP	-0.93	0.08	0.17	0.08	0.09
EC	-0.15	0.72	0.20	0.59	-0.13
TDS	-0.15	0.73	0.20	0.58	-0.11
Na <sup>+</sup>	-0.17	0.29	0.88	0.22	-0.14
$K^+$	-0.14	0.30	0.88	0.19	-0.13
Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.06	0.92	-0.02	0.18	0.02
Mg <sup>2+</sup>	0.52	-0.03	0.00	-0.18	0.13
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	-0.21	-0.04	0.30	0.87	0.10
$F^{-}$	-0.21	-0.10	0.81	0.06	0.16
Cl <sup>-</sup>	0.08	0.92	0.14	-0.04	-0.08
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.11	0.75	-0.08	-0.10	-0.28
$SO_4^{2-}$	-0.07	0.88	0.18	-0.10	0.03
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	0.88	0.04	0.07	-0.02	0.18
H <sub>4</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	-0.62	0.52	0.20	-0.07	0.29
$NH_4^+$	0.84	0.02	-0.21	0.12	0.18
Fe	0.95	-0.02	-0.17	-0.10	-0.05
Mn	-0.89	-0.05	0.21	0.10	0.07
As (tot)	0.96	-0.01	-0.05	-0.10	0.06
As (3+)	0.92	-0.01	-0.13	-0.01	-0.03
As (5+)	0.71	0.00	0.07	-0.21	0.19
Eigen value	7.80	4.61	2.74	1.70	1.14
Percentage of variance	35.46	20.95	12.45	7.74	5.18
Cumulative percentage	35.46	56.41	68.86	76.60	81.78

Plain. It has been reported by Ravenscroft et al. (2005) that average arsenic contents of riverbed samples are 2.03 mg/kg in the Ganges, 2.79 mg/kg in the Brahmaputra, and 3.49 mg/kg in the Meghna. Therefore, the high As concentration in the ground-water is mainly because of infiltration of river water through contaminated river bed sediment. This observation must be further supported by the regional groundwater flow direction based on topography or hydrographs. Although groundwater helps to sustain base flow of the river in some places, perennial Himalayan rivers, for example the Ganges and the Yamuna are likely to feed groundwater (Kumar et al. 2009a).

Less than 50  $\mu$ g/L of arsenic concentration in the central portion of the study area is possibly because of the accumulation of coarser sediment along Holocene course of the river Ganges (Ravenscroft et al. 2005). This indicates how depositional

environment and geological age are important factors in controlling arsenic mobilization. After speciation analysis it has been observed that As(III) varies from 11 to 81 µg/L in the pre-monsoon season and from 12 to 76 µg/L in the post-monsoon season. The average value of As(III) was found to be equal to 66% of the average value of the total As concentration; this is the most important issue in the area, because As(III) is prime source of lethality. Although the percentage of wells exceeding the permissible limit (50 µg/L) is less than in Bangladesh and West Bengal, the percentage contribution of As(III) to the total arsenic concentration is quite high. It has also been found that As(III) has the same correlation as total As.

The depth distribution of arsenic reveals a strong correlation between occurrence of arsenic and the depth of hand pumps or tube wells (Fig. 6). In general, the highest arsenic concentration and the spatial distribution and significant temporal variation Fig. 4 Depiction of the spatial distribution of arsenic concentration ( $\mu$ g/L) in the pre-monsoon season by contouring



Fig. 5 Contour map of arsenic concentration  $(\mu g/L)$  in the post-monsoon season



were mainly found in the case of shallow aquifer viz. 10-20 m below ground level. The arsenic concentration decreases rapidly below about 40 m. Similar observations are reported by Karim et al. 1997. This condition requires strong redox conditions to drive arsenic mobility along the well depth (McArthur et al. 2001). Moreover, between 10 and 20 m well depths, the high concentration of arsenic is associated with high concentrations of Fe,  $PO_4^{3-}$ , and  $NH_4^+$  which

favours development of anoxic conditions during microbial oxidation of sedimentary organic matter along with some anthropogenic output, i.e., the source might be both natural and artificial. Low  $NO_3^-$  concentration reveals that the  $NO_3^-$  is the thermodynamically favourable oxygen donor for microbial degradation of dissolved organic materials in the shallow aquifers (HP). It can thus be concluded that "oxyhydroxide reduction theory" is responsible





for release of arsenic in the aquifer of Bhagalpur. It has been reported by Acharyya and Shah (2004) that although the concentration of dissolved Fe in groundwater is generally low (<1 mg/L) in the Ganga Alluvial Plain, biogeochemical conditions are generally unfavourable for triggering release of As to groundwater. Therefore, in Bhagalpur, where the Fe content of groundwater reaches 7.62 and 6.84 mg/L in the pre and post-monsoon periods, respectively, conditions suitable for mobilization of As to groundwater may be a local effect.

From different scatter diagrams relationships between Ca and  $SO_4^{2-}$ , between TDS and  $SO_4^{2-}$ , and between As and Fe were observed (Figs. 7a, c, e, respectively, for pre-monsoon and Figs. 7b, d, f for post-monsoon). A good correlation (>0.5) between  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  (Figs. 7a, b) and TDS (Figs. 7c, d) also indicates that the acid produced by oxidation of pyrites is being neutralized by carbonate formed during the weathering process, and arsenic is consequently released by sorbed hydrated Fe-oxide. High correlation between arsenic and phosphate shows the mobilization of arsenic may be partially governed by anthropogenic agricultural activities. This assumption is supported by high As-Fe correlation. The Fe-As relationship under redox conditions is also proved by the paleo-channels and shifting behavior of river Ganga. The shifting behavior may lead to variation in hydrological budget, which further triggers the conversion of oxidized arsenic to the reduced form (a more mobile and toxic species).

The Bhagalpur district experiences frequent flooding by the south-west monsoon and has a channelized natural water system, so the groundwater interacts with the surface water, which accelerates weathering and other hydrogeochemical processes and consequently triggers the sediment load and accumulation of arsenic in these aquifers. Therefore, study must take geochemical investigation of surface sediments and core sediments into account to assess mineralogical control over the arsenic mobility at different depths.

#### Aquifer sediment dispositions

The sequence of aquifer sediment was determined by grain-size analysis of core samples obtained at different depths. Data for grain-size analysis of disturbed core samples is shown in Table 6 and a scatter diagram showing relationship between core sediment properties with depth is shown in (Fig. 8). These results reveal that a thick layer of clay extends between the depths 4.57 and 7.62 m (i.e., 15-25 feet) from surface. Such a layer is likely to contain biotite and other dark coloured ferromagnesian and opaque minerals in relatively high percentages. This clay layer has been exposed to drained and oxidized conditions that subsequently act as an impervious barrier in the aquifer system. This is also because of differences in the composition of alluvium, as evident from grain-size analysis. Further, depth of clay occurrence as obtained by grain-size analysis can be easily correlated with the depth profile of As contamination (Fig. 6). Thus, grain-size analysis confirms the "oxyhydroxide reduction theory" postulated earlier.



Fig. 7 Different scatter diagrams showing the relationship between calcium and sulphate in the pre-monsoon (a) and post-monsoon (b) periods, between TDS and sulphate in the pre-

#### **Conclusions and recommendations**

The groundwater quality of the study area has a primary problem of arsenic followed by nitrate contamination which needs immediate attention. The cation and anion ratios reflect the seasonal



monsoon (c) and post-monsoon (d) periods, and between arsenic and iron in the pre-monsoon (e) and post-monsoon (f) seasons

variability in the weathering pattern of carbonate and silicate minerals, which is consistent with the aquifer depths. However, there is insignificant seasonal variation in the governing hydro-geochemical processes that control the groundwater quality in the area. The groundwater in the area is being affected

Grain size (µm)	Depth in me	ters										
	0 (Top soil)	1.5	3.0	4.5	6.0	7.5	9.0	10.5	12.0	15.0	18.0	21.0
	Weight in gr	ams										
250	38.83	33.21	35.98	32.34	30.86	25.35	34.30	40.18	38.63	40.10	34.41	34.93
125	12.65	10.20	12.70	14.60	11.34	13.40	18.72	24.83	35.16	34.54	48.61	53.82
63	10.02	8.90	6.72	8.23	10.65	11.00	14.95	13.40	7.41	7.06	4.16	2.13
50	7.00	7.34	8.74	11.62	8.43	8.87	10.68	6.03	6.87	5.23	3.82	1.79
30	7.02	7.65	5.22	5.90	7.08	7.70	9.35	6.25	4.94	4.99	2.55	1.22
20	6.24	10.26	8.64	4.24	9.34	6.01	4.70	3.40	2.84	3.28	2.05	1.03
10	8.43	9.53	7.34	6.68	9.50	7.63	3.08	2.45	1.46	1.10	1.26	1.02
5	4.47	7.71	9.56	8.26	6.25	9.22	1.87	1.47	1.01	1.03	1.01	1.01
2	3.75	3.28	4.22	6.15	6.20	8.54	1.43	1.12	1.00	1.01	1.00	1.00
Recovered weight	98.42	98.07	99.13	98.02	99.67	97.72	99.07	99.14	99.31	98.34	98.88	97.95
Initial weight	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 6 Grain-size analysis of disturbed core samples

Fig. 8 Scatter diagram showing relationship between core sediment properties and depth



both by complex hydrogeochemical processes and by anthropogenic activity, mainly intensive agricultural practices. The heterogeneous distributions of arsenic (elemental signature) in aquifers were found to be concentrated in the vicinity of the river flood plain. This behavior of arsenic is governed by redox reactions at shallow depth which support the dissolution of arsenic from arseniferous iron oxyhydroxides. Sediment grain-size analysis has indicated the presence of clay at shallow depth which coincided with the highest As contamination. This higher As contamination at a particular depth because of clay seems to be well known by a local driller. However, just avoiding that particular depth for tapping groundwater for drinking purposes is not likely to solve the problem because of possible further mobilization of As. Therefore, quantified regulation of groundwater withdrawal based on aquifer properties, for example transmissivity (T), storativity (S), and hydraulic conductivity (K), must be put in place for sustainable drinking water management. Further geochemical investigations using X-ray diffraction and geochemical software, for example PhreeqC, to assess mineralogical control over arsenic mobility at different depths of groundwater in the central Gangetic Plain can be the subject of a future study. **Acknowledgments** First author (PK) would like to thank Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Government of India, for giving a fellowship and grant for my research work. The authors also acknowledge the Department of Science and Technology (DST), under the Government of India for their financial support.

### References

- Acharyya, S. K. (2004). Arsenic levels in groundwater from quaternary alluvium in the Ganga Plain and the Bengal basin, Indian subcontinent: Insights into influence of stratigraphy. *Gondwana Research*, 8(1), 55–66. doi:10.1016/ S1342-937X(05)70262-8.
- Acharyya, S. K., & Shah, B. A. (2004). Risk of arsenic contamination in groundwater affecting Ganga alluvial Plain, India? *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 112, A19– A20.
- Ahmed, K. M., Bhattacharya, P., Hasan, M. A., Akhter, S. H., Alam, M. A., Bhuyian, H., et al. (2004). Arsenic enrichment in groundwater of the alluvial aquifers in Bangladesh: An overview. *Applied Geochemistry*, 19, 181–200. doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2003.09.006.
- American Public Health Association (APHA). (1995). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (19th ed., 1467 pp.). Washington DC: American Public Health Association.
- Ben, D. S., Berner, Z., Chandrasekharam, D., & Karmakar, J. (2003). Arsenic enrichment in groundwater of West Bengal, India: Geochemical evidence for mobilization of As under reducing conditions. *Applied Geochemistry*, 18, 1417–1434. doi:10.1016/S0883-2927(03)00060-X.
- Bhattacharya, P., Chatterjee, D., & Jacks, G. (1997). Occurrence of arsenic contamination of groundwater in alluvial aquifers from Delta Plain, eastern India: Option for safe drinking supply. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 13, 79–92. doi:10.1080/07900629749944.
- Brady, N. C., & Weil, R. R. (2002). *The nature and properties* of soils (13th ed.). NY: Prentice Hall.
- Brömssen, M. V., Jakariya, M., Bhattacharya, P., Ahmed, K. M., Hasan, M. A., Sracek, O., et al. (2007). Targeting lowarsenic aquifers in Matlab Upazila, southeastern Bangladesh. *Science of the Total Environment*, 379, 121–132.
- Brömssen, M. V., Larsson, S. H., Bhattacharya, P., Hasan, M. A., Ahmed, K. M., Jakariya, M., et al. (2008). Geochemical characterisation of shallow aquifer sediments of Matlab Upazila, southeastern Bangladesh—implications for targeting low-As aquifers. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrol*ogy, 99, 137–149. doi:10.1016/j.jconhyd.2008.05.005.
- Chakraborti, D., Mukherjee, S. C., Pati, S., Sengupta, M. K., Rahman, M. M., Chowdhury, U. K., et al. (2003). Arsenic groundwater contamination in middle Ganga Plain, Bihar, India: A future danger? *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111, 1194–1201.
- Chaurasia, O. P., Kumari, C., & Ankita, S. (2007). Genotoxic effect of ground water salts rich in fluoride. *Cytologia*, 72(2), 141–144.
- Datta, D. K., & Subramanian, V. (1994). Texture and mineralogy of sediments from the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna

river system in the Bengal basin and their environmental implications. *Environmental Geology*, *30*(3/4), 181–188.

- Domenico, P. A. (1972). Concepts and models in groundwater hydrology. New York: McGraw–Hill.
- Gebel, T. (2000). Confounding variables in the environmental toxicology of arsenic. *Toxicology*, 144, 155–162. doi: 10.1016/S0300-483X(99)00202-4.
- Griffiths, J. C. (1967). Scientific methods in analysis of sediments. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Harvey, C., Swartz, C. H., Badruzzaman, A. B. M., Keon-Blute, N. E., Yu, W., Ashraf Ali, M., et al. (2002). Arsenic mobility and groundwater extraction in Bangladesh. *Science*, 298, 1602–1606.
- Huh, Y., Tsoi, M. Y., Zaitiser, A., & Edward, J. N. (1998). The fluvial geochemistry of the river of eastern Siberia. I. Tributaries of Lena River draining the sedimentation platform of the Siberia Craton. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 62, 1657–1676. doi:10.1016/S0016-7037 (98)00107-0.
- Huq, S. M. I., Ara, Q. A. J., Islam, K., Zaher, A., & Naidu, R. (2001). The possible contamination from arsenic through food chain. In: Bhattacharya, P., Jacks, G., Khan, A. A. (eds.). Groundwater arsenic contamination in the Bengal delta Plain of Bangladesh. *Proceedings of the KTH-Dhaka University Seminar* (pp. 9–96). KTH Special Publication, TRITA-AMI Report 3084.
- Jain, C. K., & Ali, I. (2000). Arsenic: Occurrence, toxicity and speciation techniques. *Water Resources*, 34, 4304–4312.
- Jakariya, M., Choudhary, M., Tareq, M. A. H., & Ahmed, J. (1998). BARC: Village health workers can test tubewell water for arsenic. Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee. Available at: http://wso.net/wei/dch/acic/infobank.
- Karim, M., Komori, Y., & Alam, M. (1997). Subsurface As occurrence and depth of contamination in Bangladesh. *Journal of Environmental Chemistry*, 7, 783–792.
- Kumar, M., Kumari, K., Ramanathan, A. L., & Saxena, R. (2007). A comparative evaluation of groundwater suitability for irrigation and drinking purposes in two agriculture dominated districts of Punjab, India. *Environmental Geology*, 53, 553–574. doi:10.1007/s00254-007-0672-3.
- Kumar, M., Kumari, K., Singh, U. K., Ramanathan, A. L., & Saxena, R. (2009a). Hydrogeochemical processes in the groundwater environment of Muktsar, Punjab: Conventional graphical and multivariate statistical approach. *Environmental Geology*, 53, 553–574. doi:10.1007/s00 254-007-0672-3.
- Kumar, M., Ramanathan, A. L., & Keshari, A. K. (2009b). Understanding the extent of interactions between groundwater and surface water through major ion chemistry and multivariate statistical techniques. *Hydrological Processes*, 23, 297–310.
- Kumar, M., Ramanathan, A. L., Rao, M. S., & Kumar, B. (2006). Identification and evaluation of hydrogeochemical processes in the groundwater environment of Delhi, India. *Environmental Geology*, 50, 1025–1039. doi:10.1007/ s00254-006-0275-4.
- Kumar, M., Sharma, B., Ramanathan, A. L., Rao, M. S., & Kumar, B. (2009c). Nutrient chemistry and salinity mapping of the Delhi aquifer, India: Source identification perspective. *Environmental Geology*, 56, 1171–1181. doi: 10.1007/s00254-008-1217-0.

- Matthess, G. (1982). *The properties of groundwater* (p. 498). New York: Wiley.
- Mazumder, D. N. G., Haque, R., Ghosh, N., De, B. K., Santra, A., Chakraborty, D., et al. (1998). Arsenic levels in drinking water and the prevalence of skin lesions in West Bengal, India. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 27, 871–877. doi:10.1093/ije/27.5.871.
- McArthur, J. M., Banerjee, D. M., Hudson-Edwards, K. A., Mishra, R., Purohit, R., & Ravenscroft, P. (2004). Natural organic matter in sedimentary basins and its relation to arsenic in anoxic ground water; the example of West Bengal and its worldwide implications. *Applied Geochemistry*, 19, 1255–1293. doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2004.02.001.
- McArthur, J. M., Ravenscroft, P., Safiullah, S., & Thirlwall, M. F. (2001). Arsenic in groundwater: Testing pollution mechanisms for sedimentary aquifers in Bangladesh. *Water Resources Research*, 37(1), 109–117. doi:10.1029/ 2000WR900270.
- Meliker, J. R., Slotnick, M. J., Avruskin, G. A., Haack, S. K., & Nriagu, J. O. (2008). Influence of groundwater recharge and well characteristics on dissolved arsenic concentrations in southeastern Michigan groundwater. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, doi:10.1007/s10653-008-9173-x.
- Nickson, R. T., McArthur, J. M., Burgess, W. G., Ahmed, K. M., Ravenscroft, P., & Rahman, M. (1998). Arsenic poisoning of Bangladesh groundwater. *Nature*, 395, 338. doi: 10.1038/26387.
- Nickson, R. T., McArthur, J. M., Ravenscroft, P., Burgess, W. G., & Ahmed, K. M. (2000). Mechanism of arsenic release to groundwater, Bangladesh and West Bengal. *Applied Geochemistry*, 15, 403–413. doi:10.1016/S0883-2927(99)00086-4.

- Nickson, R., Sengupta, C., Mitra, P., Dave, S. N., Banerjee, A. K., Bhattacharya, A., et al. (2007). Current knowledge on the distribution of arsenic in groundwater in five states of India. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A*, 42, 1707–1718. doi:10.1080/10934520701564194.
- Ravenscroft, P., Burgess, W. G., Ahmed, K. M., Burren, M., & Perrin, J. (2005). Arsenic in groundwater of the Bengal basin, Bangladesh: Distribution, field relations, and hydrological setting. *Hydrogeology Journal*, *13*, 727–751. doi:10.1007/s10040-003-0314-0.
- Saha, K. C. (1984). Melanokeratosis from arsenic contaminated tubewell water. *Indian Journal of Dermatology*, 29, 37–46.
- Saha, L. C., & Kumar, S. (2006). Comparative quality of potable waters at Bhagalpur, India. Acta Hydrochimica et Hydrobiologica, 18(4), 459–467. doi:10.1002/aheh.19900180410.
- Shah, B. A. (2008). Role of quaternary stratigraphy on arseniccontaminated groundwater from parts of middle Ganga Plain, UP–Bihar, India. *Environmental Geology*, 35, 1553–1561. doi:10.1007/s00254-007-0766-y.
- Smedley, P. L., & Kinniburgh, D. G. (2002). A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in natural waters. *Applied Geochemistry*, 17, 517–568. doi:10.1016/ S0883-2927(02)00018-5.
- Smith, A. H., Lingas, E. O., & Rahman, M. (2000). Contamination of drinking water by arsenic in Bangladesh: A public health emergency. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 83, 177–186.
- Todd, D. K. (1959). Ground water hydrology. Singapore: Wiley.
- WHO. (1993). Guidelines for drinking water quality. Recommendation edn, vol. 1–2. World Health Organization Geneva.